

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/797,015	MBACHU ET AL.
	Examiner Monica A. Fontaine	Art Unit 1732

All Participants:

(1) Monica A. Fontaine. *MaF*

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Mary Breiner.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2005

Time: ~3pm

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

1, 4, 5, 6

Prior art documents discussed:

Parigi

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner phoned Ms. Breiner to discuss amendments to the claims in order to place them in condition for allowance. Ms. Breiner faxed the examiner materials to support the clients' position that claim 1 is allowable without any further amendments. The examiner agreed to consider the new documents (cited on PTO-892 mailed herewith), and she will make a decision regarding the patentability of the claims in lieu of Ms. Breiner's fax and arguments..